

1 Eric A. Grover (Bar No. 136080)
2 eagrover@kellergrover.com
3 Denise L. Díaz (Bar No. 159516)
4 ddiaz@kellergrover.com
5 **KELLER GROVER LLP**
6 425 Second Street, Suite 500
7 San Francisco, California 94107
8 Tel: (415) 543-1305
9 Fax: (415) 543-7861
10 *Additional Counsel on Signature Page*

11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
12 **JULIE KOTOVSKY**

13 Greg L. Johnson (Bar No. 132397)
14 greg.johnson@pillsburylaw.com
15 Daveed A. Schwartz (Bar No. 200046)
16 daveed.schwartz@pillsbury.com
17 Erin C. Carroll (Bar No. 239063)
18 erin.carroll@pillsburylaw.com
19 **PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP**
20 400 Capitol Mall
21 Sacramento, California 95814
22 Tel: (916) 329-4700
23 Fax: (916) 441-3583

24 *Attorneys for Defendant*
25 **LONGS DRUG STORES, CALIFORNIA, INC.**

26
27
28 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

29 JULIE KOTOVSKY, on behalf of himself) Case No.: CV 07-0713 VRW
30 and all others similarly situated,)
31) CLASS ACTION
32 Plaintiff,)
33) Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
34 v.)
35) **JOINT STIPULATION AND**
36 LONGS DRUG STORES, CALIFORNIA,) **[PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY**
37 INC.,) **ACTION PENDING NINTH**
38) **CIRCUIT'S REVIEW OF CLASS**
39 Defendant.) **CERTIFICATION IN FACTA CASES**
40
41
42

1 Plaintiff Julie Kotovsky (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Longs Drug Stores, California,
 2 Inc. (“Defendant”), by and through their respective counsel, jointly submit the following
 3 stipulation to the Court for its consideration and jointly submit the attached proposed order
 4 staying the above referenced matter in its entirety, pending decision by the Ninth Circuit
 5 Court of Appeals (“Ninth Circuit”) in the appeals, pursuant to Rule 23(f) of the Federal Rules
 6 of Civil Procedure, in *Soualian v. International Coffee & Tea, LLC*, Case No. CV 07-502
 7 RGK (JCx) (C.D. Cal.), *leave to appeal granted*, Appellate Dkt No. 07-56377 (9th Cir.
 8 Sept. 13, 2007) (“*Soualian*”), and in *Reynoso v. South County Concepts*, Case No. CV 07-373
 9 JVS (C.D. Cal.), *leave to appeal granted*, Appellate Dkt No. 07-80191 (9th Cir. Jan. 14, 2008)
 10 (“*Reynoso*”).

11 The background and circumstances which establish good cause for the stay are as
 12 follows:

13 WHEREAS, Plaintiff in this putative class action alleges that Defendant willfully
 14 violated a provision of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 (“FACTA”), 15
 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g), which provides, in pertinent part, that “no person that accepts credit cards
 16 or debit cards for the transaction of business shall print more than the last 5 digits of the card
 17 number or the expiration date upon any receipt provided to the cardholder at the point of the
 18 sale or transaction”;

19 WHEREAS, in *Soualian* and *Reynoso*, the respective plaintiffs similarly allege that the
 20 defendant in their respective actions willfully violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(g);

21 WHEREAS, on August 28, 2007, this Court stayed the instant action in its entirety
 22 pending a determination in the Ninth Circuit on whether to hear the interlocutory appeals from
 23 orders denying class certification in six Central District of California FACTA cases including
 24 *Soualian* and further ordered that, if the Ninth Circuit determined to hear any such appeals,
 25 this action would remain stayed until all appeals are exhausted including any review by the
 26 United States Supreme Court;

27 WHEREAS, on September 13, 2007, the Ninth Circuit granted a motion under Rule
 28 23(f) of the Federal Rules of the Civil Procedure permitting the plaintiff in *Soualian* to appeal

1 the District Court's ruling denying her motion for class certification on the ground that a class
 2 action would not be a "superior" method of adjudication under FED.R.CIV.P. 23(b)(3) because
 3 of the magnitude of the potential damage award;

4 WHEREAS, on December 11, 2007, this Court issued an Amended Pretrial Order
 5 permitting class discovery and scheduling a class certification hearing for July 8, 2007;

6 WHEREAS, on January 14, 2008, the Ninth Circuit granted a motion under Rule 23(f) of
 7 the Federal Rules of the Civil Procedure permitting the defendant in *Reynoso* to appeal the
 8 District Court's ruling granting plaintiff's motion for class certification, *inter alia*, on the ground
 9 that the magnitude of the potential damage award does not affect the superiority of a class action
 10 for adjudication of the case;

11 WHEREAS, in the instant action, Defendant (as in *Soualian* and *Reynoso*) intends to
 12 oppose Plaintiff's motion for class certification, *inter alia*, on the ground that a class action
 13 would not be a superior method of adjudication under FED.R.CIV.P. 23(b)(3) because of the
 14 magnitude of the potential damage award;

15 WHEREAS, in *Soualian*, the appellant Soualian's opening brief was filed on January
 16, 2008, the appellee International Coffee's brief is due on February 26, 2008, and
 17 appellant's reply brief, if any, is due on March 11, 2008;

18 WHEREAS, in *Reynoso*, the appellant South County Concepts' opening brief is due
 19 May 1, 2008, the appellee Reynoso's brief is due on June 2, 2008, and appellant's reply brief,
 20 if any, is due within fourteen days of service of the appellee's brief;

21 WHEREAS, prior to and since the Ninth Circuit's grant of review in *Soualian*, district
 22 courts in the Central and Northern Districts of California stayed the following FACTA cases
 23 pending the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Soualian*:

24 1. *Dister v. Benetton*, Case No. C 07-01379 SI (N.D. Cal. Feb. 22, 2008);
 25 2. *Moon v. FedEx Kinko's Office and Print Services, Inc.*, Case No. C 06-7657
 26 SI (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2008);

3. *Hile v. The Body Shop Int'l PLC*, Case No. C 07-791 JSW (N.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 2008);
4. *Serna, et al. v. Costco Wholesale Corporation*, Case No. CV 07-1491 AHM (JWJx) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 3, 2008);
5. *Hile v. Max Rave LLC*, Case No. C 07-738 JSW (N.D. Cal. Dec. 7, 2007);
6. *Lopez v. The Gymboree Corp.*, Case No. C 07-0087 SI (N.D. Cal. Nov. 1, 2007);
7. *Figueroa v. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts, Inc.*, Case No. SACV 07-0019-DOC (ANx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2007) (staying action *sua sponte*);
8. *Saunders v. Claim Jumper Restaurants, LLC*, Case No. CV 07-0168-DOC (ANx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 23 and 26, 2007);
9. *Vigdorchik v. Century Theatres, Inc.*, Case No. C 07-00736 MMC (N.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2007);
10. *Soualian v. Barney's New York, Inc.*, Case No. CV 07-0558-GW (FFMx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2007);
11. *Saunders v. The Johnny Rockets Group, Inc.*, Case No. SACV 07-0172-CJC (ANx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2007);
12. *Price v. Pacific Theaters*, Case No. CV 07-00929-ER (Ex) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2007);
13. *Aeschbacher v. California Pizza Kitchen*, Case No. CV 07-00215-VBF (JWJx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2007);
14. *Farkash v. Bear Creek Stores, Inc., dba Harry and David*, Case No. CV 07-00309-ER (SHx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2007);
15. *Libman v. bebe Stores, Inc.*, Case No. CV 07-972-ABC (Ex) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 5, 2007);
16. *Saunders v. Fuddrucker's, Inc.*, Case No. CV 07-0953-GW (RZx) (C.D. Cal. Sept. 27, 2007) (staying action *sua sponte*);
17. *Dister v. Benetton*, Case No. C 07-01379 MJJ (N.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2007);

18. *Saunders v. In-N-Out Burgers*, Case No. CV 07-00169-GW (MLGx) (C.D.
2 Cal. Sept. 10, 2007) (staying action *sua sponte*);

3 WHEREAS, the parties agree that the Ninth Circuit's decisions in *Soualian* and
4 *Reynoso* will likely provide important guidance to this Court and to the parties with respect to
5 whether class treatment of Plaintiff's FACTA claim is superior to individual actions under
6 FED.R.CIV.P. 23(b)(3);

7 WHEREAS, the parties agree that this Court has discretion to control its docket to
8 serve the interests of justice and judicial economy, *Moses H. Cone Mem. Hosp. v. Mercury*
9 *Constr. Corp.*, 460 U.S. 1, 20, n. 23 (1983); *Adams v. California Dep't of Health Svcs.*, 487
F.3d 684, 688 (9th Cir. 2007);

10 WHEREAS, the parties agree that a stay of this action will conserve judicial and party
11 resources, avoid unnecessary expenditures of time, effort, and money, and serve the interests
12 of justice and judicial economy, *see Figueroa v. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts*, Case No.
13 SACV 07-0019-DOC, slip op. at 3 (holding that "it would be a considerable waste of time and
14 effort for the parties and the Court to proceed with this action when the major point of
15 contention is likely to be resolved by the Ninth Circuit");

16 WHEREAS, the parties agree and request that the Court's Amended Pretrial Order of
17 December 11, 2007 be vacated and that this matter be set for a status conference every six (6)
18 months to determine whether it is appropriate to continue the stay of this action, and further
19 agree to file a joint status report with the Court two days prior to the status conference regarding
20 the status of the *Soualian* and *Reynoso* appeals;

22 WHEREAS, the parties further agree that the requested stay is not for the purposes of
23 delay, but so that the due process of the parties is protected and so that justice may be done; and

24 WHEREAS, the parties agree that this Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, and
25 that facsimile signatures shall be deemed acceptable signatures for the purposes of this
26 Stipulation.

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, THE PARTIES, BY AND
THROUGH THEIR UNDERSIGNED COUNSEL, HEREBY STIPULATE AS
FOLLOWS:

1. That this action be stayed in its entirety pending resolution of the appeals in *Soualian v. Int'l Coffee and Tea, LLC*, Appellate Dkt No. 07-56377 (9th Cir.), *Reynoso v. South County Concepts*, Appellate Dkt No. 07-80191 (9th Cir. Jan. 14, 2008), and any subsequent appeals filed in other FACTA class actions;

2. That this case remain stayed until all appeals are exhausted on the class certification issue, including any review by the United States Supreme Court;

3. That the parties suggest to the Court that its Amended Pretrial Order of December 11, 2007 be vacated, that this matter be set for a status conference every six (6) months to determine whether it is appropriate to continue the stay of this action, and that the parties file a joint status report with the Court two days prior to the status conference regarding the status of the *Soualian* and *Reynoso* appeals;

4. That each party shall reserve the right to approach the Court at any time and, upon a showing of good cause, seek modification, extension or termination of this order.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: February 27, 2008

KELLER GROVER LLP
425 Second Street, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94107
Telephone: (415) 543-1305
Facsimile: (415) 543-7681

By: /s/ Eric A. Grover
Eric A. Grover (SBN: 136080)
Denise L. Diaz (SBN: (159516)

1 THIERMAN LAW FIRM
2 Mark R. Thierman, Esq. (SBN: 72913)
3 7287 Lakeside Drive
4 Reno, NV 89511
5 Telephone: (775) 284-1500
6 Facsimile: (775) 703-5027

7 By: /s/ Mark R. Thierman

8
9 LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT A. MILLER, A.P.C.
10 Scott A. Miller, Esq. (SBN: 230322)
11 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200
12 Encino, CA 91436
13 Telephone: (818) 788-8081
14 Facsimile: (818) 788-8080

15 By: /s/ Scott A. Miller

16 STEVEN L. MILLER,
17 A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
18 Steven L. Miller, Esq. (SBN: 106023)
19 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200
20 Encino, CA 91436
21 Telephone: (818) 986-8900
22 Facsimile: (818) 990-7900

23 By: /s/ Steven L. Miller

24 Attorneys for Plaintiff Julie Kotovsky

25 Dated: February 27, 2008

26 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
27 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1700
28 Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 329-4700
Facsimile: (916) 441-3583

29 By: /s/ Daveed A. Schwartz
30 Greg L. Johnson (SBN: 132397)
31 Daveed A. Schwartz (SBN: 200046)
32 Erin C. Carroll (SBN: 239063)
33 Attorneys for Defendant
34 Longs Drug Stores, California, Inc.

1 **ATTESTATION**

2 I attest that signatories Greg L. Johnson, Daveed A. Schwartz, and Erin C. Carroll have
3 concurred in the filing of this document.

4
5 Dated: February 27, 2008

KELLER GROVER LLP
6 425 Second Street, Suite 500
7 San Francisco, CA 94107
Telephone: (415) 543-1305
Facsimile: (415) 543-7681

8 By: _____ /s/ Eric A. Grover
9 Eric A. Grover (SBN: 136080)
Denise L. Diaz (SBN: (159516)

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Upon the Stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, this action is stayed in its entirety until the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals renders a decision in *Soualian v. Int'l Coffee and Tea, LLC*, Appellate Dkt No. 07-56377 (9th Cir.) and/or *Reynoso v. South County Concepts*, Appellate Dkt No. 07-80191 (9th Cir. Jan. 14, 2008). The Court's Amended Pretrial Order of December 11, 2007 is hereby vacated. This case shall remain stayed until all appeals (if any) of those decisions are exhausted, including any review by the United States Supreme Court.

~~A status conference shall be set for _____ to determine whether it is appropriate to continue the stay of this action. Further status conferences on the appropriateness of this stay shall be set thereafter. The parties reserve the right to approach the Court at any time and, upon a showing of good cause, seek modification, extension or termination of this order.~~

The parties shall submit a report on July 1, 2008 as to the status of the appeals in *Soualian, Reynoso*, and other FACTA cases (if any), and any developments in this case. The parties also will inform the Court as to whether the stay should remain in place or be lifted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

